

ATTACHMENT A

ERRATA

Las Colinas Detention Facility Project Final Environmental Impact Report State Clearinghouse Number 2006091036

Lead Agency:

**County of San Diego
Department of Public Works
5469 Kearny Villa Road, Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92123-1152**

**Contact: Esther Daigneault, Environmental Planning Manager
858.874.4107**

Preparer:

**Dudek
605 Third Street
Encinitas, CA 92024**

June 2009

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ERRATA SHEET FOR THE LAS COLINAS DETENTION FACILITY PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

This Errata Sheet identifies changes to the Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report (RDEIR) for the Las Colinas Detention Facility Project. The text of the RDEIR has not been altered. This Errata Sheet identifies specific locations in the RDEIR where changes have been made based on comments received during the public review period and changes made for the purpose of providing more current information. Deletions to the RDEIR are shown as ~~struckthrough~~ text and revisions/additions are shown as underlined text.

The following is a list of pages and locations (section, page, and paragraph) in which the changes are to be included in this Final EIR.

FEIR SECTION	LOCATION (section, page, and paragraph)
1. Title Page EIR and Date Information	Title Page
2. Summary	Section S.1, Pages S-1 and S-2, 3rd paragraph under "Setting"
3. Summary	Section S-1, Table S-1, Page S-9
4. Introduction	Section 1.2, Page 1-9, 2nd paragraph
5. Introduction	Section 1.2.1.6, Pages 1-18 and 1-19, 1st and 2nd paragraphs under "Grading"
6. Introduction	Section 1.2.1.6, Page 1-20, 1st paragraph under "Phase 1"
7. Introduction	Section 1.2.2, Page 1-24, new 11th paragraph of section
8. Introduction	Section 1.4.2, Page 1-26, 2nd paragraph
9. Introduction	Section 1.5.1, Page 1-27, Table 1-2
10. Introduction	Section 1, Page 1-57, Table 1-3
11. Cultural Resources	Section 2.1, Page 2.1-1, 2nd and 3rd paragraphs
12. Cultural Resources	Section 2.1.3, Page 2.1-9, 1st paragraph under "Historical Resources"
13. Cultural Resources	Section 2.1.5, Page 2.1-11, Mitigation Measure M-CR-2b
14. Cultural Resources	Section 2.1.6, Page 2.1-12, paragraph "Significant Cumulative Impact CR-3"
15. Cultural Resources	Section 2.1, Page 2.1-15, Table 2.1-1
16. Transportation / Traffic	Section 2.2.2.2, Page 2.2-7, paragraphs under "Construction"
17. Transportation / Traffic	Section 2.2.2.2, Page 2.2-9, 1st paragraph under "Analysis"
18. Biological Resources	Section 2.3.1.3, Pages 2.3-7 and 2.3-8, 8th paragraph under "Special Status Wildlife Species"
19. Biological Resources	Section 2.3, Pages 2.3-33 and 2.3-34, Table 2.3-4
20. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Section 2.5, Page 2.5-21, Table 2.5-4
21. Hydrology and Water Quality	Section 2.6, Page 2.6-17, Table 2.6-2
22. Utilities and Service Systems	Section 3.1, Page 3.1.8-19, Table 3.1.5-8

FEIR SECTION	LOCATION (section, page, and paragraph)
23. List of Mitigation Measures and Environmental Design Considerations	Section 7.0, Page 7-2
24. List of Mitigation Measures and Environmental Design Considerations	Section 7.0, Pages 7-13 and 7-14
25. Cover Pages for Appendix Volumes 1, 2, and 3	EIR Appendix Volume Cover Pages

The following changes are incorporated into the text of the Final EIR:

- The Environmental Impact Report for the Las Colinas Detention Facility Project Title Page has been revised as follows:**

~~DRAFT REVISED~~FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Las Colinas Detention Facility Project
State Clearinghouse Number 2006091036

Lead Agency:

**County of San Diego
Department of Public Works
5469 Kearny Villa Road, Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92123-1152**

**Contact: Esther Daigneault, Environmental Planning Manager
858.874.4107**

Preparer:

**Dudek
605 Third Street
Encinitas, CA 92024**

~~November~~June 20089

2. Section S.1, Pages S-1 and S-2, 3rd paragraph under “Setting,” has been revised as follows:

The project site is a combination of existing LCDF buildings, disturbed lands, and scattered vegetation and is surrounded by existing and planned office/commercial uses, existing residential development, and the San Diego River. Developed land uses on the site consist of the existing LCDF and the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility. The County, as part of a separate project, ~~is in the process of replacing~~ replaced the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility with a new facility ~~that is being constructed~~ located north of the San Diego River and south of Mast Boulevard. ~~Once~~ Construction of the new 150,000-square-foot hospital is was completed in November 2008. ~~On January 28, 2009, the Board of Supervisors approved the Edgemoor demolition project. Therefore, after the Edgemoor patients will have vacated the old buildings (scheduled for July 2009), and the existing Edgemoor facility will be demolished. The demolition of three Edgemoor buildings would be required as part of the LCDF project and therefore impacts associated with demolition of the three buildings are addressed in this EIR. However, the EIR for the demolition of Edgemoor will analyze the impacts of demolishing all of the Edgemoor buildings, including the three buildings also analyzed in this LCDF EIR. However, the demolition of three Edgemoor buildings would be required as part of the LCDF project and, therefore, impacts associated with demolition of those three buildings are addressed in this EIR.~~

3. Section S.1, Table S-1, Page S-9, has been revised as follows:

Impact No.	Impact	Mitigation	Conclusion and Mitigation Effectiveness
2.1.1.2 Archaeological Resources			
CR-2	Potential to result in impacts to unknown buried cultural resources during project grading activities	M-CR-2b All cultural material collected during the grading monitoring program shall be processed and curated at a San Diego facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79, and therefore would be professionally curated and made available to other archaeologists/researchers for further study. The collections and associated records shall be transferred, including title, to an appropriate curation facility within San Diego County, to be accompanied by payment of the fees necessary for permanent curation. The mitigation would be considered complete when the County Staff Archaeologist received evidence shall be in the form of a letter from the curation facility identifying that archaeological materials have been received and that all fees have been paid. A report documenting the field and analysis results and interpreting the artifact and research	CR-2: Less than Significant CR-3: Significant and Unmitigable (as identified above)

		<p>data within the research context shall be completed and submitted to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Land Use prior to grading. The report shall include Department of Parks and Recreation Primary and Archaeological Site forms.</p> <p>If any human bones are discovered, the Project Archaeologist would contract the County Coroner. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Most Likely Descendant, as identified by the Native American Heritage Commission, Shall be Contacted by the Project Archaeologist in order to determine proper treatment and disposition of the remains.</p>	
--	--	--	--

4. Section 1.2, Page 1-9, 2nd paragraph, has been revised as follows:

The County, as part of a separate project, ~~is in the process of replacing~~ replaced Edgemoor with a new facility ~~that is being constructed~~ located north of the San Diego River and south of Mast Boulevard. ~~Once construction of the new 150,000-square foot hospital is completed in November 2008, and Edgemoor patients will vacate~~ the new 150,000-square foot hospital is completed in November 2008, and Edgemoor patients will vacate the old buildings. ~~Because three Edgemoor buildings are located within the proposed LCDF project site boundary and would require demolition as part of the LCDF project; therefore, this EIR addresses the environmental impacts of demolition of these three buildings. However, on January 28, 2009, the Board of Supervisors approved the Edgemoor demolition project, which authorizes the demolition of all of the old Edgemoor buildings (except the polo barn) after the patients have been moved to the new facility.~~

5. Section 1.2.1.6, Pages 1-18 and 1-19, 1st and 2nd paragraphs under “Grading,” have been revised as follows:

Grading

~~LCDF site grading; on the project site and grading for the access road is anticipated to require the importation of result in approximately 228,000 336,000 cubic yards (cy) of total earthwork (cut and fill), including 139,000 cy of on-site work for over excavation and recompaction, and approximately 89,000 cy of import fill material (Harris Associates 2008). The source of the fill material is unknown at this time. The construction contractor would be required to obtain fill material from a permitted site.~~

The northern part of the proposed project site is located within the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) 100-year flood zone, as shown on the SanGIS flood zone

interactive map (SanGIS, accessed January 5, 2007) and displayed in *Figure 2.5-42.6-1* in *Chapter 2.52.6*. With adoption of its Flood Drainage Prevention Ordinance, the City raised the base flood elevation of the San Diego River. As a result, the northern part of the project site is also located within a “special flood hazards inundated by 100-year flood” zone as designated by the City. No structures are proposed within either the FEMA or City designated flood zones. As described in *Section 1.2.1.2*, a two-lane access road would ~~to~~ serve the facility, and is planned to be constructed along the northern LCDF boundary prior to or concurrent with construction of the LCDF. Alternatively, if it is constructed in time, future Riverview Parkway would provide access for the LCDF. The northern section of the LCDF site would then be raised to match the grade of Riverview Parkway, which was established in TPM 2005-04. ~~The site’s~~ Grading necessary to make the road and project site match the future Riverview Parkway grade would require import of 89,000-336,000 cy of soil mentioned above.

6. Section 1.2.1.6, Page 1-20, 1st paragraph under “Phase 1,” has been revised as follows:

Phase I will develop the County-owned property to the east of the existing LCDF and Cottonwood Avenue, a portion of which is presently developed with the existing Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility (*Figures 1-4 and 1-7*). The County ~~(as a separate project) is in the process of replacing~~ replaced the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility with a new facility ~~that is being constructed~~ located north of the San Diego River and south of Mast Boulevard. Edgemoor patients ~~will be vacateing~~ are vacateing the old buildings ~~once the new facility is certified for occupancy.~~ As a separate project, the Board of Supervisors, on January 28, 2009, approved the demolition of the old Edgemoor buildings. Therefore, after the buildings have been vacated, they will be demolished. However, ~~a~~ As explained in Section 1.2, the LCDF project would require demolition of three Edgemoor structures on the western part of the Edgemoor site. The impacts of demolishing those three buildings are also analyzed in this EIR.

7. Section 1.2.2, Page 1-243, new 11th paragraph has been added:

In 2003, the County and Ryan Companies US, Inc. (Ryan) entered into a disposition and development agreement (DDA) by which Ryan acts as the master developer for the planning and development of 108 acres of County-owned property in the Santee Town Center. The original east/west configuration of the proposed LCDF expansion project did not encroach on any land subject to the DDA. The currently proposed north/south configuration of the LCDF expansion project encroaches on 16 acres of land that are subject to the DDA. Therefore, the project also includes the County’s acquisition from Ryan of the right to develop the 16 acres that are currently subject to the DDA.

8. Section 1.4.2, Page 1-26, 2nd paragraph, has been revised as follows:

As stated in *Section 1.2*, the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility ~~is currently being~~^{was} relocated to a site on the north side of the San Diego River as part of a separate project. Most of the Edgemoor buildings are east of the LCDF project site, but three Edgemoor buildings are located within the LCDF site boundary and would require demolition as part of the LCDF project. Therefore, impacts associated with demolition of those three buildings are also addressed in this EIR. As part of a separate project, the Board of Supervisors approved the Edgemoor demolition project on January 28, 2009.

9. Section 1.5.1, Page 1-27, Table 1-2, has been revised as follows:

**Table 1-2
Matrix of Project Approvals/Permits**

PERMIT TYPE/ACTION	AGENCY
Project Approval/Certification of EIR	County of San Diego
General Permit for Stormwater Discharge Associated with Construction Activity (General Permit)	State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)
Section 4(d) Habitat Loss Permit	County of San Diego
1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement	CDFG
404 Permit	ACOE
401 Permit	RWQCB
Approvals for Access Road Construction	City of Santee
Acquisition of development rights to 16 acres subject to DDA with Ryan	County of San Diego

10. Section 1, Page 1-57, Table 1-3, has been revised as follows:

Project Name	Case Number	Map Indicator	Location	Project Related Impacts	Status	Project Description
Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility	45689	18a	South of Mast Boulevard, north of San Diego River, bordered on east by Cottonwood Road	Biology, Transportation and Traffic	MND adopted on in June 2004. <u>Construction completed in November 2008. Project under construction.</u>	150,000-square foot, 192-bed facility on 13 acres of a 30-acre parcel of land.
Edgemoor Facility Demolition Project	No case number yet available.	18b	Along Magnolia Avenue at existing Edgemoor Skilled	Significant impacts to the following: Cultural Resources, Biological	<u>Board of Supervisors approved the project on January 28,</u>	Demolition of existing Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility

			Nursing Facility site	Resources, and Hazards and Hazardous Materials	<u>2009, Draft EIR released for public review in August 2008.</u>	
--	--	--	-----------------------	--	---	--

11. Section 2.1, Page 2.1-1, 2nd and 3rd paragraphs, have been revised as follows:

As discussed in *Section 1.2*, the County, as part of a separate project, ~~is in the process of replacing~~ replaced the Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility with a new facility ~~that is being constructed~~ located north of the San Diego River and south of Mast Boulevard. ~~Once e~~ Construction of the new 150,000-square-foot hospital is was completed in November 2008, and Edgemoor patients ~~will~~ are vacateing the old buildings.

Heritage Architecture and Planning's Edgemoor Farm Historical Resources Evaluation Report (2008) was prepared for the County's Edgemoor Demolition project. It evaluates the significance of each building, including the three buildings located within the LCDF project site, and ~~were~~ was used for describing the historic resources on the LCDF site and analyzing the significance of their demolition. The LCDF project and the Edgemoor demolition project are independent projects with separate EIRs. The Board of Supervisors approved the Edgemoor demolition project on January 28, 2009.

12. Section 2.1.3, Page 2.1-9, 1st paragraph under "Historical Resources," has been revised as follows:

The Board of Supervisors approved the Edgemoor Facility Demolition Project on January 28, 2009. ~~The proposed Edgemoor Facility Demolition P~~ That project includes the demolition of all buildings on the Edgemoor site, with the exception of the Polo Barn. As discussed in *Section 2.1.1.2*, the LCDF project would demolish three Edgemoor historical resources. The LCDF project would therefore contribute to the cumulative loss of historical resources, and impacts would be significant (Impact CR-3).

13. Section 2.1.5, Page 2.1-11, Mitigation Measure M-CR-2b:

M-CR-2b All cultural material collected during the grading monitoring program shall be processed and curated at a San Diego facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79, and therefore would be professionally curated and made available to other archaeologists/researchers for further study. The collections and associated records shall be transferred, including title, to an appropriate curation facility within San Diego County, to be accompanied by payment of the fees necessary

for permanent curation. The mitigation would be considered complete when the County Staff Archaeologist received evidence ~~shall be~~ in the form of a letter from the curation facility identifying that archaeological materials have been received and that all fees have been paid. A report documenting the field and analysis results and interpreting the artifact and research data within the research context shall be completed and submitted to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Land Use ~~prior to grading~~. The report shall include Department of Parks and Recreation Primary and Archaeological Site forms.

If any human bones are discovered, the Project Archaeologist would contract the County Coroner. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Most Likely Descendant, as identified by the Native American Heritage Commission, Shall be Contacted by the Project Archaeologist in order to determine proper treatment and disposition of the remains.

14. Section 2.1.6, Page 2.1-12, paragraph “Significant Cumulative Impact CR-3,” has been revised as follows:

Significant Cumulative Impact CR-3: Project impacts resulting in the loss of three historical buildings, in conjunction with the loss of historical resources associated with the ~~proposed~~ Edgemoor Facility Demolition Project, would be cumulatively significant and though the proposed impacts could be reduced by documentation and salvage, the impact would remain significant and not mitigable to a level that is less than significant.

15. Section 2.1, Page 2.1-15, Table 2.1-1, has been revised as follows:

Project No. (from Table 1-3)	Project Name	Status	Project-Level Cultural Resource Impacts
18b	Edgemoor Facility Demolition Project	Board of Supervisors approved the project on January 28, 2009. Draft EIR released August 2008.	Significant onsite historic structures would be impacted (impacts would be significant and not mitigable).

16. Section 2.2.2.2, Page 2.2-7, paragraphs under “Construction” have been revised as follows:

Construction

Site grading is anticipated to occur over a 12-month period prior to construction. Construction (including demolition) is expected to occur over a 36-month period. Construction and grading

traffic is expected to access the site from Cottonwood Avenue via Mission Gorge Road. Construction traffic estimates were developed by Harris and Associates. ~~Construction activities, on average, are anticipated to result in 50 roundtrip truck trips per day and 45 roundtrip vehicle trips per day. Construction assumptions were based on updated geotechnical information, demolition estimates, and proposed building square footage, traffic analyses of previous similar construction projects and engineering judgment specific to the characteristics of the project site.~~ Assumptions related to construction traffic include the following:

- Import of up to 336,000 cubic yards (cy) of soil may be required for fill material, including 203,006 cy for Phase 1, 96,006 cy for Phase 2, and an additional 37,000 cy for grading of Riverview Parkway.
- The grading phase of the project will extend over a one-year period.
- Average truck load capacity for earth movement is approximately 19 cy.
- Grading and construction work would be done 5 days per week.
- Average daily number of construction workers per building is estimated at 48 workers.
- All construction workers would drive alone to the construction site. No substantial use of public transit is anticipated.
- All construction workers would assemble at the construction site (as opposed to assembling at an offsite location and shuttling to the project site).
- ~~A peak construction work force is estimated at 45 workers per day.~~
- All construction activity would take place during only one shift per day.
- ~~All workers would arrive and leave at the beginning and end of the shift (i.e., two trips per employee per day).~~
- ~~Approximately 50 construction material vehicles are expected per day.~~

Construction traffic is anticipated to fluctuate throughout the various stages of project grading and construction, but the average daily traffic for the month with the greatest number of average daily trips during grading and construction is anticipated to be 423, with a total of 47 trips anticipated during the a.m. peak hour and a total of 51 trips anticipated during the p.m. peak hour. The number of workers required (300 at the peak of construction) was calculated using a labor/material percentage ratio of 55:45. For the purposes of this estimate, it was assumed that an average of 36 workers per day would work on each housing component (building), and an average of 48 workers per day would work on all other building types. For the peak of construction, it was estimated that seven buildings would be under construction simultaneously. The estimate assumed that workers would not use public transportation or other alternative transportation and would not carpool to commute to the job site.

The highest monthly averages for construction traffic would be during the building phases of construction. The grading phase is projected to have less traffic than the building construction phase because grading requires fewer workers. The grading traffic estimates assume the use of haul trucks with a 19-cubic-yard capacity (typical two-trailer haul trucks). During the grading and site preparation phase, the County's consultant estimates that the average number of truck trips will range between 75 and 135 trips per day.

Although the proposed project would result in a temporary increase in traffic on local area roadways during construction, this short-term and limited construction-related traffic would not create a substantial impact on traffic volumes nor change traffic patterns in such a way as to result in unacceptable LOS (i.e., LOS D or worse) on local area roadways or intersections or cause a roadway segment to fall below LOS D operating condition. This conclusion is based on the fact that the construction traffic would be less than the traffic generated by operation of the project, and the fact that the project's operational traffic, when added to existing conditions, would have no significant direct impacts on roadway segments or intersections, as further discussed below. When the proposed project is open and operating, it is projected to add 1,312 daily trips to the local roadway network, with 67 a.m. peak-hour trips, and 87 p.m. peak-hour trips. The construction phase would generate substantially less traffic (889 fewer daily trips, with 20 fewer a.m. peak-hour trips and 36 fewer p.m. peak-hour trips) than the operational phase of the project. Furthermore, the proposed project would implement a Traffic Control Plan (as identified in *Section 1.2.1.6*) to manage construction traffic and potential hazards. As such, the construction traffic related to the proposed project would not cause a roadway segment or intersection to fall below LOS D operating condition, and the impact to traffic during project construction would be less than significant.

17. Section 2.2.2.2, Page 2.2-9, 1st paragraph under "Analysis," has been revised as follows:

As noted in *Section 2.2.1.4*, the City's General Plan does not include any specific policies related to alternative transportation. Moreover, as more fully described in *Section 3.1.4.2*, the City's General Plan and City's Town Center Specific Plan do not apply to the proposed project. The proposed project would not generate a need for alternative transportation infrastructure or conflict with adopted plans or programs supporting alternative transportation. However, it should be noted that due to the project's proximity to the Santee Transit Center, visitors and staff would be able to use alternative transportation. No significant impact would occur.

18. Section 2.3.1.3, Pages 2.3-7 and 2.3-8, 8th paragraph under "Special Status Wildlife Species," has been revised as follows:

Bat species can occupy and/or roost in abandoned structures. ~~The Edgemoor structures proposed to be demolished are still being used to house Edgemoor patients. Therefore, these buildings are not suitable roosting sites. Further, f~~ocused surveys for bats were conducted on all the Edgemoor buildings in October 2007 (HDR 2007). The surveys found that none of the buildings that would be impacted by the LCDF project showed any signs of bat occupation. ~~However, once the patients are moved to the new Edgemoor facility, which is currently under construction, the existing Edgemoor buildings would be empty. The County, as a standard practice, would~~ has or will properly secure any unoccupied the Edgemoor structures ~~prior to being demolished~~, in order to prevent bats from getting inside to roost.

19. Section 2.3, Pages 2.3-33 and 2.3-34, Table 2.3-4, has been revised as follows:

Project No. (from Table 1-5)	Project Name	Status	Project-Level Biological Impact
18a	Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility	<u>Construction completed November 2008.</u> Project under construction	Potential direct impact to raptor nests. Potential indirect impacts to two-striped garter snake, turkey vulture, white-tailed kite, Cooper's hawk, southwestern willow flycatcher and least Bell's vireo (not observed onsite) due to construction noise. Inadvertent encroachment into sensitive areas during and after construction, by project lighting and by invasive, non-native species, would also result in significant impacts. Impacts to emergent wetland (0.09 acres), Eucalyptus woodland (0.86 acres), non-native vegetation (0.61 acres).
18b	Edgemoor Facility Demolition Project	<u>Project approved by Board of Supervisors on January 28, 2009.</u> Draft EIR released August 2008.	Significant impact. Townsend's big-eared bat, pallid bat, raptors, and Yuma myotis bat.

20. Section 2.5, Page 2.5-21, Table 2.5-4, has been revised as follows:

Project No. (from Table 1-3)	Project Name	Status	Project-Level Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impacts
18a	Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility Relocation Project	Project approved and under construction <u>constructed</u> .	Less than Significant
18b	Edgemoor Facility Demolition	Project approved by Board of Supervisors on January 28, 2009. Draft EIR released August 2008.	Significant effects related to disposal of hazardous materials, including asbestos and lead-based paint.

21. Section 2.6, Page 2.6-17, Table 2.6-2, has been revised as follows:

Project No. (from Table 1-3)	Project Name	Status	Project-Level Impacts
18a	Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility Relocation Project	Project approved and under construction <u>constructed</u> .	Less than Significant
18b	Edgemoor Facility Demolition Project	<u>Project approved by Board of Supervisors on January 28, 2009.</u> Draft EIR released August 2008.	Less than Significant

22. Section 3.1, Page 3.1.8-19, Table 3.1.5-8, has been revised as follows:

Project No. (from Table 1-3)	Project Name	Status	Project-Level Impacts
18a	Edgemoor Skilled Nursing Facility Relocation Project	Project approved and under construction <u>constructed</u> .	Less than Significant
18b	Edgemoor Facility Demolition Project	<u>Project approved by Board of Supervisors on January 28, 2009.</u> Draft EIR released August 2008.	Less than Significant

23. Section 7.0, Page 7-2, has been revised as follows:

M-CR-2b All cultural material collected during the grading monitoring program shall be processed and curated at a San Diego facility that meets federal standards per 36 CFR Part 79, and therefore would be professionally curated and made available to other archaeologists/researchers for further study. The collections and associated records shall be transferred, including title, to an appropriate curation facility within San Diego County, to be accompanied by payment of the fees necessary for permanent curation. The mitigation would be considered complete when the County Staff Archaeologist received evidence ~~shall be~~ in the form of a letter from the curation facility identifying that archaeological materials have been received and that all fees have been paid. A report documenting the field and analysis results and interpreting the artifact and research data within the research context shall be completed and submitted to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Land Use ~~prior to grading~~. The report shall include Department of Parks and Recreation Primary and Archaeological Site forms.

If any human bones are discovered, the Project Archaeologist would contract the County Coroner. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Most Likely Descendant, as identified by the Native American Heritage Commission, Shall be Contacted by the Project Archaeologist in order to determine proper treatment and disposition of the remains.

24. Section 7.0, Pages 7-13 and 7-14, have been revised as follows:

~~7. For vehicles that will serve the proposed project on a frequent basis (e.g., passenger vehicles, delivery trucks), require use of alternative fuels and measures to maximize fleet efficiency.~~

78. Implement California Building Energy Efficiency Standards—all buildings in the proposed project will be required to meet Title 24, Part 6, of the California Code of Regulations: California's Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings.

89. Encourage use of Multi-Modal Use Transit—the proposed project is located near the Santee transit rail station. Transit stops and routes will link the new commercial and residential area to the regional mass transit systems in San Diego County.

940. Utilize Landscaping and Tree Planting—the proposed project ~~requires~~ includes landscaping, especially on the perimeter of the facility, throughout the new residential and commercial developments and the planting of shade trees within the new parking lots. This landscaping will provide CO2 uptake.

3.1.5 Noise

Operation

- ~~For vehicles that will serve the proposed project on a frequent basis (e.g., passenger vehicles, delivery trucks), require use of alternative fuels and measures to maximize fleet efficiency.~~
- ~~Implement California Building Energy Efficiency Standards— all buildings in the proposed project will be required to meet Title 24, Part 6, of the California Code of Regulations: California’s Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings.~~
- ~~Encourage use of Modal Use Transit—the proposed project is located near the Santee transit rail station. Transit stops and routes will link the new commercial and residential area to the regional mass transit systems in San Diego County.~~
- ~~Utilize Landscaping and Tree Planting—the proposed project requires landscaping throughout the new residential and commercial developments and the planting of shade trees within the new parking lots. This landscaping will provide CO₂ uptake.~~
- The proposed LCDF outside announcement system would be designed, tested, and calibrated to minimize the sound volume at the nearest property line where there is a sensitive use, limit noise levels based on the City’s Municipal Code one-hour average noise limits, and not exceed existing noise levels. To accomplish this, the following design parameters have been included (refer to Section 3.1.5.2 for additional information and analysis):

- 25. The Cover Pages for Appendix Volumes 1, 2, and 3 of the Environmental Impact Report for the Las Colinas Detention Facility Project have been revised as follows:**

~~REVISED DRAFT~~ FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Las Colinas Detention Facility Project
State Clearinghouse Number 2006091036

APPENDICES VOLUME 1
A

Lead Agency:

**County of San Diego
Department of Public Works
5469 Kearny Villa Road, Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92123-1152**

**Contact: Esther Daigneault, Environmental Planning Manager
858.874.4107**

Preparer:

**Dudek
605 Third Street
Encinitas, CA 92024**

~~November~~ June 20089

~~REVISED DRAFT~~ FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Las Colinas Detention Facility Project
State Clearinghouse Number 2006091036

APPENDICES VOLUME 2
B-E

Lead Agency:

**County of San Diego
Department of Public Works
5469 Kearny Villa Road, Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92123-1152**

**Contact: Esther Daigneault, Environmental Planning Manager
858.874.4107**

Preparer:

**Dudek
605 Third Street
Encinitas, CA 92024**

~~November~~ June 20089

~~REVISED DRAFT~~ FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Las Colinas Detention Facility Project
State Clearinghouse Number 2006091036

APPENDICES VOLUME 3
F-K

Lead Agency:

County of San Diego
Department of Public Works
5469 Kearny Villa Road, Suite 305
San Diego, CA 92123-1152

Contact: Esther Daigneault, Environmental Planning Manager
858.874.4107

Preparer:

Dudek
605 Third Street
Encinitas, CA 92024

~~November~~ June 2008**9**